

Publication Ethics

As an association that supports the publication of conference proceedings, the newsletter *Nexus* and the scholarly journal *Atlantis*, AEDEAN is committed to maintaining the highest international standards of publication ethics. AEDEAN endorses and fully supports the principles and guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), whose main aim is to promote ethical practices among editors and publishers (<http://publicationethics.org>).

AEDEAN binds all parties involved in the publishing process (editors, authors and reviewers) to adhere to the following standards of expected ethical behavior.

Editors

Although AEDEAN may discuss and establish strategy, process and policy with editors, they have full editorial independence and are responsible for deciding what should be published. In making these decisions, editors should be guided by legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

Editors are expected to evaluate and handle contributions exclusively on the basis of their intellectual content, academic merit and relevance to the field of Anglo-American studies, without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, political philosophy or ethnic origin of the authors.

Information about submitted manuscripts should not be disclosed to anyone who is not in charge of the publication or reviewing process.

The selection of reviewers should be based on their adequacy to the manuscript to be reviewed, ensuring a fair and appropriate peer review process.

Unpublished materials will not be used in the editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

Editors should guard the integrity of the published record and take reasonable responsive measures when ethical complaints are presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.

AEDEAN is committed to transparency in areas of potential conflict of interest. If editors have a potential conflict of interest, they are expected to recuse themselves from individual manuscripts.

Authors

Authorship is limited to those who meet all the following criteria and expectations: a) Significant contribution to the conception or design of the work, or the acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data for the work. b) Drafting the work or revising it critically

for important intellectual content. c) Final approval of the version to be published. d) Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Where there are others who have also made significant contributions, they should be named in an acknowledgements section.

All articles should contain clear and accurate attribution of authorship. All authors that have contributed to the work in the terms described in the previous paragraph should be fairly acknowledged in the author list.

Authors should ensure that their work is entirely original and unpublished. In the case of manuscripts submitted for the newsletter *Nexus*, if the work has already appeared somewhere else, this has to be appropriately acknowledged.

Plagiarism in all its forms, whether partial or total, constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Authors should also ensure they have the rights or permission to publish images, photographs, videos and music.

The editors of *Atlantis* or the AEDEAN conference proceedings evaluate submissions on the understanding that they have not been simultaneously submitted to another journal or publication. Authors should not submit contributions describing essentially the same research to more than one journal or publication.

When necessary, authors should make explicit the ethical responsibilities or conflicts of interest involved. Likewise, the sources of research funding, if any, will be mentioned.

It is the authors' obligation to promptly notify the editor if they discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their published work so that the necessary corrections may be incorporated.

Reviewers

Unless otherwise specified, AEDEAN expects reviewers to handle all submissions in confidence. It should not be shown or discussed with anyone, except if authorized by the editor. Similarly, information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for the reviewers' personal advantage or in their own research without the express written consent of the author.

Reviews should be conducted in a critical, objective, honest and constructive manner. It should also be anonymous. All observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments and in a constructive way, so that authors can use them to improve their work.

If reviewers identify similarities between any content of the work reviewed and other published material that has not been cited by the authors, they should notify the editor or the editorial team.

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the proposed manuscript or thinks that a prompt review will be impossible must notify the editor. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts that conflict with interests resulting from competitive, collaborative or other relationships or connections with any of the authors or institutions linked to the work in question.